

CORRIGENDA

Identification of potential biomarkers of exposure to di(isononyl)cyclohexane-1,2-dicarboxylate (DINCH), an alternative for phthalate plasticizers

Manori J. Silva, Johnathan Furr, James L. Preau Jr, Ella Samandar, L. Earl Gray and Antonia M. Calafat

Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology (2012) **22**, 427; doi:10.1038/jes.2012.16

Correction to: *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* (2012) **22**, 204–211; doi:10.1038/jes.2011.43; published online 18 January 2012

In this article, there is an error in the abstract, lines 5 and 6. The sentence should be “We positively identified and accurately quantified CHDA and cyclohexane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid, mono hydroxyisononyl ester (MHNCH) using authentic standards.”

Comparative international analysis of radiofrequency exposure surveys of mobile communication radio base stations

Jack T. Rowley and Ken H. Joyner

Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology (2012) **22**, 427; doi:10.1038/jes.2012.17

Correction to: *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* advance online publication, 29 February 2012; doi:10.1038/jes.2012.13

In this article, there are two errors. On page 3, left column, RESULTS section, second paragraph, second sentence, the sentence should be “We calculated a global average across all measurements, weighted by the number of points per country, as $0.073 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ as indicated by the lower dotted line in Figure 1.”

On page 8, left column, the second sentence under *Mobile networks comply with RF exposure limits* should be “The global average in Figure 1 at $0.073 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ is more than nearly 5500 times below the most restrictive ICNIRP reference level for the public relevant to these mobile communication services ($400 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at 800 MHz).”