

COMMENT OPEN



Umbilical cord management in newborn resuscitation

J. S. Dorling^{1,2}, C. C. Roehr^{3,4,5}, A. C. Katheria^{6,7} and E. J. Mitchell⁸✉

© The Author(s) 2024

Pediatric Research (2025) 97:1428–1429; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-024-03711-5>

At birth, blood continues to flow from the mother to baby through the intact umbilical cord. This continuum of fetal-placental circulation, now taking place between the placenta and the newborn infant has been termed ‘placental transfusion’, and it enables redistribution of blood between the placenta and the baby.¹ There is usually net flow into the baby, which appears to play a role in expanding pulmonary blood.^{2,3} Ample clinical evidence has shown that uninterrupted placental transfusion, as supported by the practice of deferred umbilical cord clamping (DCC) reduces death, especially in preterm babies who do not require resuscitation at birth, i.e., those born in “good condition”. In an individual patient data meta-analysis (48 trials, 6367 babies <37 weeks’ GA), DCC compared to immediate clamping reduced death before discharge by almost a third (odds ratio [OR] 0.68 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.51–0.91).⁴ Accepted clinical practice is, therefore, to defer cord clamping in stable term and preterm infants whenever possible. Current international newborn resuscitation guidelines recommend at least 60 seconds of DCC.⁵ Moreover, in a sub-set of the recent individual patient data meta-analysis (47 trials, 6094 babies), deferring cord clamping beyond 120 seconds further reduced the odds of death (OR 0.31 CI 0.11–0.80).⁶ We speculate these recent new insights will be considered in the next edition of resuscitation guidelines.

Aside from the considerable body of evidence in stable term and preterm infants, very few trials have investigated the groups of babies who needed resuscitation at birth. It is crucial, therefore, that the above results are not extrapolated to the population of compromised babies without adequate evidence. In addition, as most studies were unable to accurately record who needed resuscitation, it is challenging, retrospectively, to reliably extract data on intervention and control groups.

In this edition of *Pediatric Research*, Major and co-authors present a meta-analysis (6 trials, 539 babies) of trials that explicitly reported on all, or nearly all babies who were resuscitated with an intact cord (“intact cord resuscitation”).⁷ Sample sizes ranged from 37 to 162 babies per trial and most trials were conducted in high-income countries. Four trials included babies below 32 weeks’ gestational age, one included babies over 32 weeks and one babies of greater than 33 weeks’ gestational age. In their analyses, Major et al. highlight the lack of evidence for important outcomes: there were no statistically significant differences for in-hospital mortality, oxygen saturation level, Apgar scores, temperature at NICU admission, or early complications of prematurity. The small

sample size of the studies included, and inadequate power for most of the outcomes, is likely to explain these findings. There was a suggestion that intact cord resuscitation may improve oxygenation at 5 minutes of age, as this parameter nearly reached statistical significance, when data from the three trials reporting it were combined. The mean difference in saturation of oxygen at 5 minutes was 6.67% (95% CI –1.16–14.5).⁷

Recognizing the lack of evidence for intact cord resuscitation at the time of writing (in 2020/2021), current international resuscitation guidelines^{8,9} highlighted the need for more evidence of the benefit of DCC for babies who need resuscitation. Consequently, there appears to be a recent, increasing enthusiasm for practicing DCC in babies who need resuscitation. Various approaches and equipment have been developed to provide resuscitation with the cord intact.^{10–13} Blood transfused from the placenta may provide oxygen, blood cells and fluid volume, all of which may be beneficial during and after immediate resuscitation.^{9,12,14} Resuscitating with the umbilical cord intact could therefore potentially save lives and prevent brain and other vital organ injury, and, later, disability. However, intact cord resuscitation is without doubt technically more challenging than DCC alone as it requires additional skilled staff and new or adapted equipment. Furthermore, consideration for the parents needs to be made, as all resuscitative actions are seen/witnessed by the mother and birthing partner, who then can have immediate interaction with the baby and neonatal team.^{10,13,15} This may enhance communication and parental understanding of the actions taken during resuscitation, which could improve parental psychological outcomes, although close involvement might also be traumatic, requiring careful debriefing and follow-up.^{15–17}

To conclude, and as highlighted by the systematic review by Major et al.⁷ the safety and efficacy of intact cord resuscitation remains an important, unanswered research question. Preventing just a few cases of disability per thousand liveborn could substantially improve parental quality of life and save considerable resources for healthcare and society.^{18,19} Due to the lack of evidence on when to clamp the cord in the very vulnerable population of compromised babies at birth, the question of whether to resuscitate with the umbilical cord intact, or not, was voted the second most important question in a recent UK neonatal research priority setting exercise.¹⁸

An adequately powered, large randomized controlled trial is needed to guide clinical practice for these newborn babies.

¹Neonatal Medicine, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK. ²NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ³National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit Clinical Trials Unit, University of Oxford, Oxfordshire, UK. ⁴Newborn Care, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK. ⁵Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. ⁶Neonatal Research Institute, Sharp Mary Birch Hospital for Women and Newborns, San Diego, CA, USA. ⁷Neonatal Research Institute, San Diego, CA, USA. ⁸Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

✉email: Eleanor.Mitchell@nottingham.ac.uk

Received: 29 September 2024 Accepted: 14 October 2024

Published online: 11 November 2024

REFERENCES

- Duley, L. M. M., Drife, J. O., Soe, A., Weeks, A. D., on behalf of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Clamping of the Umbilical Cord and Placental Transfusion: Scientific Impact Paper No. 14. 2015. <https://www.rcog.org.uk/media/ahppggoek/sip-14.pdf>.
- Duley, L. et al. Improving quality of care and outcome at very preterm birth: the Preterm Birth research programme, including the Cord pilot RCT. *Programme Grants Appl. Res.* **7**, <https://doi.org/10.3310/pgfar07080> (2019).
- Hooper, S. B. et al. Cardiovascular transition at birth: a physiological sequence. *Pediatr. Res.* **77**, 608–614 (2015).
- Seidler, A. L. et al. Deferred cord clamping, cord milking, and immediate cord clamping at preterm birth: a systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet* **402**, 2209–2222 (2023).
- Wyckoff, M. H. et al. 2022 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with treatment recommendations: summary from the basic life support; advanced life support; pediatric life support; neonatal life support; education, implementation, and teams; and first aid task forces. *Circulation* **146**, e483–e557 (2022).
- Seidler, A. L. et al. Short, medium, and long deferral of umbilical cord clamping compared with umbilical cord milking and immediate clamping at preterm birth: a systematic review and network meta-analysis with individual participant data. *Lancet* **402**, 2223–2234 (2023).
- Major, G. S. et al. Umbilical cord management in newborn resuscitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-024-03496-7> (2024).
- International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Cord Management at Birth for Preterm Infants (NLS#5051) (2024). <https://costr.ilcor.org/document/cord-management-at-birth-for-preterm-infants-nls-5051-tf-sr>.
- Madar, J. et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Newborn resuscitation and support of transition of infants at birth. *Resuscitation* **161**, 291–326 (2021).
- Batey, N., Yoxall, C. W., Fawke, J. A., Duley, L. & Dorling, J. Fifteen-minute consultation: stabilisation of the high-risk newborn infant beside the mother. *Arch. Dis. Child. Educ. Pract. Ed.* **102**, 235–238 (2017).
- Katheria, A., Lee, H. C., Knol, R., Irvine, L. & Thomas, S. A review of different resuscitation platforms during delayed cord clamping. *J. Perinatol.* **41**, 1540–1548 (2021).
- Patterson, J. & Niermeyer, S. Delayed cord clamping and the response to bradycardia immediately after birth. *Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **109**, 346–347 (2024).
- Thomas, M. R., Yoxall, C. W., Weeks, A. D. & Duley, L. Providing newborn resuscitation at the mother's bedside: assessing the safety, usability and acceptability of a mobile trolley. *BMC Pediatr.* **14**, 135 (2014).
- The American Association of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Delayed Umbilical Cord Clamping After Birth 2020. <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2020/12/delayed-umbilical-cord-clamping-after-birth>.
- Katheria, A. C. et al. Acceptability of bedside resuscitation with intact umbilical cord to clinicians and patients' families in the United States. *Front Pediatr.* **6**, 100 (2018).
- Sawyer, A. et al. Providing immediate neonatal care and resuscitation at birth beside the mother: parents' views, a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* **5**, e008495 (2015).
- Yoxall, C. W. et al. Providing immediate neonatal care and resuscitation at birth beside the mother: clinicians' views, a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* **5**, e008494 (2015).
- Evans, K. et al. National priority setting partnership using a Delphi consensus process to develop neonatal research questions suitable for practice-changing randomised trials in the UK. *Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **108**, 569–574 (2023).
- Petrou, S., Abangma, G., Johnson, S., Wolke, D. & Marlow, N. Costs and health utilities associated with extremely preterm birth: evidence from the EPICure study. *Value Health* **12**, 1124–1134 (2009).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare except that they are working with an international group of clinicians and methodologists to plan and conduct a trial of intact cord resuscitation for preterm infants in the UK, Europe, and Australasia. They have received no relevant grant funding but are supported by their employing organizations.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E. J. Mitchell.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2024