Complexity matters

This month, we celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of Philip Anderson's landmark essay 'More is Different'.

f one asked physicists to describe Philip Anderson's influence in a single word, the most likely answers would be along the lines of 'broad' or 'wide'. Among condensed matter physicists in particular, Anderson is a legendary figure. His contributions have reshaped the understanding of interference phenomena in disordered media, magnetism, and of many other properties of quantum systems. In the early 1960s, he proposed a symmetry-breaking mechanism to explain how a photon could acquire mass within a superconductor, which was crucial for the subsequent development of the Higgs mechanism. But perhaps the truest testament of the far-reaching influence of his ideas is his 1972 essay 'More is Different'1, which helped establish some of the philosophical foundations of complexity science.

Today, condensed matter physics is huge. But things were different — or at least perceived differently — in the 1970s when Anderson wrote the article. In his own words, More is Different "was unquestionably the result of a build-up of resentment and discontent on my part and among the condensed matter physicists²", mainly directed at colleagues that were part of "the particle physics establishment"². What Anderson called the arrogance of particle physicists is well summarized by the distinction put forward by Victor Weisskopf, an eminent theoretical physicist, who was at the time director-general of CERN.

Weisskopf identified two major types of scientific research, one 'intensive', which "goes for the fundamental laws", and the other 'extensive', which explains phenomena "in terms of known fundamental laws"3. This might seem a reasonable, if coarse, categorization, but what bothered Anderson was the hierarchical structure that one infers from it. What Weisskopf implied — in Anderson's eyes — was that unveiling the microscopic laws that govern the behaviour of particles has an intrinsic priority and is the purest intellectual challenge. All else is an application of those laws, and condensed matter physics is nothing more than "Schmutzphysik" — the physics of dirt, as Wolfgang Pauli once said.

More is Different was the result of Anderson's urge to provide more dignity to his own research field. But it went far beyond that by dissecting the limitations of the philosophical approach that underpinned the perceived privilege of 'intensive' research fields. In his essay, Anderson borrowed and generalized the concept of emergence from evolutionary biology, laying out the idea that systems at a given scale have properties that cannot be directly predicted from the laws describing the behaviour of constituents at a lower scale. For example, consciousness is an emergent property of the brain, but neurons are not individually conscious. Similarly, knowing the inner workings of every single component of a car does not help us describe the complex patterns arising in traffic flows.

Anderson did not mean to fully disrupt the value of the opposite approach reductionism. There is no doubt that the brain is made of neurons, and that the laws regulating the behaviour of microscopic entities hold in any context. But the point of More is Different is that a reductionist approach does not imply a 'constructionist' one. One cannot use the laws learned at a certain scale as building blocks to directly explain the emergent properties at higher scales. Reality is a collection of layers of emergence, and all the laws and frameworks needed to understand them share the same universal and fundamental quality. From this perspective, chemistry is not just applied physics, biology is not just applied chemistry - all the way up to sociology, which is not just applied psychology.

These ideas helped set a new direction in the study of complex phenomena as a deviation from the reductionist paradigm. Emergence is now considered one of the hallmarks of complex systems, in which the properties of the whole cannot be directly inferred from the details of the parts but arise from their mutual interactions. In this context, More is Different is not only a catchy slogan that crystallizes the concept of emergence, but it has been a crucial reading for generations of scientists willing to explore complex phenomena arising

in widely different systems. Cities, neural networks, ecosystems and social media, are just a few examples of the rich variety that complexity science explores.

Despite the lasting impact that Anderson's call for a constructionist framework had in the physics community and beyond, seeds of reductionism are still embedded in the way we think. Ask any student who recently graduated from high school how to visualize the relationships among different scientific fields, and there is a good chance you will get a tree or pyramid diagram of some sort that ranks the disciplines by how 'fundamental' they are — social sciences at the top, mathematics and physics at the bottom. And ask any freshly enrolled physics student the reason behind their choice, and someone will almost certainly express the feeling that physics is more important or fundamental than other disciplines.

Fifty years ago, Anderson captured the need to shift gears. Thinking and teaching - of science as a set of individual nodes, rigidly encapsulated in a vertical structure, contradicts the view of science as a complex system itself4. An adaptive network of people, ideas, theories and projects that communicate with each other, moulding the cultural landscape that we inhabit. More is Different is still an eye-opening read for those who have not yet realized how science has grown to be deeply interconnected. But it is also a reassuring experience for those who are not involved in 'intensive' research. Were physicists to ever achieve a theory of everything, it may have a large impact on fundamental fields. But the rest of physics and science as a whole would be far from done, as there would still be plenty of layers of complexity left to explore.

Published online: 8 August 2022 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-022-01734-5

References

- Anderson, P. W. Science 177, 393–396 (1972).
- Steglich, F. et al. More is Different—Fifty Years of Condensed Matter Physics (Princeton Univ. Press, 2001).
- Weisskopf, V. F. Brookhaven Nat. Lab. Publ. 24–27 https:// nemenmanlab.org/~ilya/images/a/a4/Weisskopf-1965.pdf (1965).
- 4. Fortunato, S. et al. Science 359 (2018).