

* Both authors contributed equally to this work.



system. And, it is necessary to analyze the allelic distribution of STR loci before used in forensic applications. We have so far reported population data^{6–14} for a panel of 21 STR loci, and these STR loci demonstrated tremendous potential for forensic applications. In the present study, we first aimed to present the population genetic data and forensic parameters of the Chinese Guanzhong Han (Northern Han in geography) with a panel of 21 non-CODIS autosomal STRs. Moreover, we investigated the genetic relationships and population differentiations between Guanzhong Han and other Chinese groups.

Methods

Populations and DNA extraction. Blood samples were randomly collected from 275 unrelated individual of the Han Chinese living in Guanzhong region, Shaanxi province, China. Before getting involved in the study, all the participants signed the written informed consents for the sample collections and succedent analyses. This study was conducted according to the humane and ethical research principles and approved by the ethical committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, China. The genomic DNA was extracted from blood-stained samples using the Chelex-100 method as described by Walsh et al.¹⁵.

Genotyping results of the 21 STR loci from 10 Chinese groups were chosen for population comparison, including Mongolian (n = 86) from Inner Mongolia autonomous region⁶, Bai (n = 106) from Yunnan province⁷, Kazak (n = 114) from Xinjiang autonomous region⁸, Ningxia Han (Northern Han) (n = 202) from Ningxia autonomous region⁹, Russian (n = 114) from Inner Mongolia autonomous region¹⁰, Tibetan (n = 104) from Tibet autonomous region¹¹, Tujia (n = 107) from Hubei province¹², Uigur (n = 218) from Xinjiang autonomous region¹³, Yi (n = 110) from Yunnan province¹⁴, Salar (n = 120) from Qinghai province¹⁶. The geographical locations of the reference populations were shown in Figure 1.

PCR amplification and STR typing. A panel of STRs were amplified in a single reaction using the AGCU 21 + 1 STR system (AGCU ScienTech Incorporation, Wuxi,

Jiangsu, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR products were separated and detected by capillary electrophoresis on the ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The STR typing results were obtained by comparing to the 21 + 1 Allelic Ladder using the program GeneMapper® ID-X v1.3 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Control DNA from 9947A cell line (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) was typed for quality control. All laboratory procedures were in accordance with the laboratory internal control standards.

Statistical analyses. Allelic frequencies and forensic parameters were calculated using the modified Powerstats v1.2¹⁷. The Genepop v4.0.10 (<http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/>) was utilized to estimate the linkage disequilibriums (LDs) for all pair-wise STR loci. To estimate the inter-population differentiations between the Guanzhong Han and 10 reference populations in China, the locus-by-locus *F_{st}*, associated *p* and overall *F_{st}* values were calculated using the method of analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) by the software ARLEQUIN v3.1 (<http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/arlequin3>) and the *D_A* distances were calculated using the DISPAN program¹⁸. To visually estimate the genetic relationships between the Guanzhong Han and reference populations, we performed two kinds of phylogenetic trees using the software MEGA v5 with the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) based on *D_A* distances and the software PHYLIP v3.6 by a bootstrap-over-loci method with 1,000 replicates based on allelic frequencies, respectively. A PCA plot was conducted with MATLAB 2007a (MathWorks Inc., USA) based on allelic frequencies of 21 STRs. The existence of significant LD among STRs has an impact on some subsequent analyses, including *D_A* calculation and MEGA, so the STR loci which observed to be in significant LD with one or more other loci would be removed in the analyses mentioned above.

Results and Discussion

The typing results of the 21 STR loci from the Guanzhong Han population were listed in supplemental Table 1, and the allelic frequencies and forensic parameters were shown in Table 1. A total of




Figure 1 | The geographical locations of the Guanzhong Han and 10 reference groups in China. The map was created in matlab R2013b software (MathWorks Inc., USA).



Table 1 | The allelic frequencies and statistical parameters for the 21 STR loci in Han population from Guanzhong region, Shaanxi, China (n = 275)

Allele	D6S474	D12ATA63	D22S1045	D10S1248	D1S1677	D11S4463	D1S1627	D3S4529	D2S441	D6S1017	D4S2408	D19S433	D17S1301	D1GATA113	D18S853	D20S482	D14S1434	D9S1122	D2S1776	D10S1435	D5S2500
7										0.0036				0.5145					0.0018		
8										0.2236	0.2364		0.0055	0.0091						0.0236	
9											0.2945		0.0236			0.0018		0.0036	0.1200	0.0036	
9.1						0.0036	0.0291						0.0655	0.0036	0.0091	0.0345	0.1073	0.0782	0.0673	0.0418	
10					0.0091				0.0145	0.2309	0.2964										
10.1									0.0109												
10.3										0.3582											
11		0.0018	0.2436	0.0109		0.0018	0.0127		0.3473	0.0364	0.1545	0.0036	0.1782	0.1691	0.4036	0.0109	0.1636	0.1709	0.2818	0.0018	
11.3									0.0309											0.1618	
12		0.3327	0.0018	0.0673	0.0182	0.0509	0.0982	0.0018	0.2109	0.2818	0.0182	0.0418	0.4582	0.2782	0.0582	0.0673	0.0218	0.3182	0.3800	0.3473	
12.2												0.0055									
12.3													0.0018								
13	0.0018	0.0036	0.0091	0.3545	0.0891	0.2073	0.5564	0.1891	0.0200	0.0891		0.2836	0.2109	0.0255	0.2436	0.2382	0.3091	0.3509	0.1164	0.2745	
13.2												0.0564	0.2109								
14	0.3745	0.0382	0.0109	0.2636	0.5309	0.3236	0.2927	0.2055	0.1200	0.0073		0.2545	0.0509	0.2309	0.3873	0.3709	0.0709	0.0327	0.1291	0.4073	
14.1									0.0018												
14.2												0.1055									
15	0.3636	0.0018	0.2855	0.2200	0.3073	0.2855	0.0091	0.4182	0.0127			0.0709	0.0055	0.0545	0.1855	0.0236	0.0036		0.0145		
15.2												0.1345									
16	0.1345	0.1600	0.2582	0.0691	0.0418	0.0964	0.0018	0.1564				0.0182				0.0745	0.0036		0.0018		
16.2												0.0218									
17	0.0909	0.3545	0.1655	0.0127	0.0036	0.0273		0.0291				0.0018									
17.2												0.0018									
18	0.0327	0.0927	0.0218	0.0018		0.0036														0.2873	
19	0.0018	0.0109	0.0036																	0.2327	
20																			0.0018	0.0018	
23																			0.0491	0.0491	
24																			0.0200	0.0200	
PD	0.8576	0.8858	0.8979	0.8906	0.7898	0.9034	0.7700	0.8775	0.9072	0.8828	0.8863	0.9437	0.8753	0.8091	0.8566	0.8975	0.8825	0.8852	0.8866	0.8969	
PI	0.6476	0.6825	0.7243	0.7057	0.5527	0.7192	0.5325	0.6787	0.7299	0.6870	0.6994	0.7916	0.6672	0.5674	0.6713	0.7107	0.6822	0.6915	0.7040	0.7216	
PE	0.4199	0.4034	0.5790	0.5144	0.2822	0.4540	0.2865	0.4255	0.5082	0.4599	0.4717	0.5856	0.4540	0.2738	0.5462	0.5144	0.4658	0.4599	0.5207	0.5790	
TPI	1.6369	1.5805	2.3707	2.0221	1.2277	1.7628	1.2387	1.6566	1.9928	1.7857	1.8333	2.4123	1.7628	1.2061	2.1825	2.0221	1.8092	1.7857	2.0522	2.3707	
HO	0.6945	0.6836	0.7891	0.7527	0.5927	0.7164	0.5964	0.6982	0.7491	0.7200	0.7273	0.7927	0.7164	0.5855	0.7709	0.7527	0.7236	0.7200	0.7564	0.7891	
HE	0.7000	0.7278	0.7644	0.7468	0.6136	0.7582	0.5940	0.7219	0.7653	0.7329	0.7453	0.8147	0.7063	0.6285	0.7180	0.7475	0.7276	0.7352	0.7426	0.7586	
P	0.8062	0.0898	0.3622	0.8618	0.4535	0.0938	0.9664	0.3543	0.4888	0.5919	0.4591	0.3147	0.7501	0.1289	0.0567	0.8824	0.8448	0.5327	0.6383	0.2590	0.3848
PD: power of discrimination; PIC: polymorphism information content; PE: probability of exclusion; TPI: typical paternity index; HO: observed heterozygosity; HE: expected heterozygosity; p: probability values of exact tests for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.																					



PD: power of discrimination, PIC: polymorphism information content, PE: probability of exclusion, TPI: typical paternity index, HO: observed heterozygosity, HE: expected heterozygosity, P: probability values of exact tests for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Population	D10S1248	D10S1435	D11S4463	D12ATA63	D14S1434	D17S1301	D18S853	D19S433	DIGATA113	D1S1677	D20S482	D22S1045	D2S1776	D2S441	D3S4529	D4S2408	D5S2500	D6S1017	D6S474	D9S1122	D1S1627
Bai	<i>Fst</i>	-0.0018	-0.0027	-0.0028	-0.0010	0.0004	0.0008	0.0061	-0.0023	0.0034	0.0159	0.0015	0.0020	-0.0020	0.0009	0.0028	0.0024	-0.0004	0.0064	0.0013	-0.0005
	<i>Fst</i>	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9306	0.6285	0.5093	0.0684	1.0000	0.2102	0.0029	0.4115	0.3392	1.0000	0.5200	0.2590	0.3060	0.0635	0.7820	0.4614	0.7586
Kazak	<i>Fst</i>	0.0017	0.0016	0.0014	0.0105	-0.0024	0.0131	0.0019	0.0033	0.0165	0.0114	0.0002	0.0032	0.0034	0.0086	0.0080	0.0083	0.0209	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0017
	<i>P</i>	0.3353	0.3617	0.4135	0.0108	1.0000	0.0020	0.3148	0.1417	0.0049	0.0117	0.6579	0.1789	0.1613	0.0166	0.0313	0.0244	0.0010	0.7243	0.3441	0.0557
Ningxia	<i>Fst</i>	-0.0006	0.0002	0.0008	-0.0019	0.0010	-0.0009	-0.0005	0.0001	0.0001	-0.0008	0.0011	0.0001	-0.0009	-0.0008	0.0007	0.0028	-0.0020	0.0013	0.0012	-0.0012
Han	<i>Fst</i>	0.9443	0.6452	0.4800	1.0000	0.3998	0.9834	0.9081	0.5904	0.9414	0.3382	0.6833	0.9980	0.9853	0.4741	0.1193	1.0000	0.3363	0.3920	0.4135	1.0000
Russian	<i>Fst</i>	-0.0026	0.0005	0.0036	0.0041	0.0036	-0.0025	-0.0018	-0.0007	0.0042	0.0000	-0.0008	0.0881	-0.0016	0.0020	0.0078	0.0002	0.0006	0.0023	0.0070	0.0010
	<i>P</i>	1.0000	0.5992	0.1867	0.1232	0.1730	1.0000	1.0000	0.9433	0.1623	0.6002	0.9179	0.0000	1.0000	0.3148	0.0332	0.6804	0.5376	0.2972	0.0479	0.4262
Salar	<i>Fst</i>	0.0036	0.0003	0.0015	-0.0001	-0.0008	0.0015	-0.0010	0.0009	0.0049	0.0046	0.0002	0.0700	0.0033	0.0053	0.0110	0.0014	0.0042	-0.0002	0.0025	-0.0020
	<i>P</i>	0.1320	0.6637	0.4135	0.7126	0.9130	0.3529	0.9521	1.0000	0.1202	0.1222	0.6520	0.0000	0.1652	0.0733	0.0049	0.4242	0.1320	0.7722	0.2405	0.2991
Tibetan	<i>Fst</i>	0.0056	0.0038	0.0006	0.0216	0.0061	0.0034	0.0046	0.0032	0.0002	0.0009	0.0039	0.0162	-0.0013	0.0082	0.0202	0.0048	0.0004	0.0103	-0.0007	-0.0001
	<i>P</i>	0.0772	0.1642	0.5748	0.0000	0.0763	0.1975	0.1193	0.1887	0.5904	0.4555	0.1633	0.0000	0.9814	0.0244	0.0000	0.1300	0.5552	0.0127	1.0000	0.6393
Tuiga	<i>Fst</i>	-0.0028	-0.0021	-0.0022	0.0005	0.0068	-0.0020	-0.0002	-0.0017	-0.0017	0.0019	0.0066	-0.0002	-0.0020	0.0108	0.0017	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0043	-0.0010	-0.0007
	<i>P</i>	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.5894	0.0158	0.7615	0.7488	0.9873	0.9980	0.3314	0.0469	0.7634	1.0000	0.3842	0.0048	0.6373	1.0000	0.4106	0.1505	0.9472
Uigur	<i>Fst</i>	0.0100	0.0026	0.0056	0.0184	0.0025	0.0022	0.0022	0.0034	0.0229	0.0221	0.0009	0.0034	0.0020	0.0076	0.0132	0.0038	0.0178	0.0059	0.0116	0.0006
	<i>P</i>	0.0010	0.1232	0.0137	0.0000	0.0025	0.1906	0.1711	0.0469	0.1535	0.0000	0.4233	0.0772	0.1857	0.0020	0.0000	0.0675	0.0005	0.0205	0.0010	0.4

The phylogenetic tree constructed by the software MEGA v5 based on D_A distances was shown in Figure 2A. From the figure, three clusters were observed: the Guanzhong Han, Ningxia Han,

**Table 3** | The D_A distances between Guanzhong Han population and other groups based on 10 STR loci

Index	Ningxia Han	Tujia	Bai	Kazak	Tibetan	Mongolian	Uigur	Salar	Russian	Yi
D_A	0.0073	0.0077	0.0091	0.0126	0.0133	0.0141	0.0153	0.0264	0.0281	0.0337

**Figure 2** | Phylogenetic tree for Guanzhong Han and 10 reference populations constructed by the software MEGA v5 based on D_A distances (A) and by the software PHYLIP v3.6 based on allelic frequencies (B), respectively.**Figure 3** | Principal component analysis plot structured based on allelic frequencies of 21 STR loci in 11 populations.

Tibetan, Tujia and Bai groups shared the same clade; Yi, Russian, Salar and Mongolian groups were delineated in a branch; the remaining groups including Uigur and Kazak groups clustered together. In order to further confirm the phylogenetic relationship, the phylogenetic tree was also constructed using PHYLIP v3.6 based on the allelic frequencies of 21 STR loci and the result was shown in

Figure 2B. The results obtained from two phylogenetic trees were extremely similar, and the only exception was Tibetan group. The exception may due to the different number of STR loci.

As shown in Figure 3, the PCA plot among 11 groups was obtained with the first two components to be 29.92% and 16.37%, respectively, which could explain 46.29% of the variance. The Guanzhong Han



population was observed to cluster closest with the Ningxia Han population, then with the Tujia and Bai groups, which is consistent with the results of phylogenetic trees above. The genetic evidence in our study showed that the Guanzhong Han population had closer relationship with Ningxia Han, Tujia and Bai populations than other 7 groups. The present result was basically consistent with the previous result of HLA loci as described by Shen et al.⁵. In order to further understand their genetic relationships and ancestry information, more genetic markers, such as SNPs and insertion/deletion polymorphisms should be used and analyzed in future.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we presented the genetic data of the Guanzhong Han population with 21 STR loci, and these STR loci showed high level of genetic polymorphisms and were suited for forensic application for the Guanzhong Han population. The population comparison showed the Guanzhong Han had a close genetic relationship with the Ningxia Han, Tujia and Bai populations among the populations tested.

- Chu, J. Y. *et al.* Genetic relationship of populations in China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **95**, 11763–11768 (1998).
- Chen, J. *et al.* Genetic structure of the Han Chinese population revealed by genome-wide SNP variation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **85**, 775–785 (2009).
- Qin, P. *et al.* A panel of ancestry informative markers to estimate and correct potential effects of population stratification in Han Chinese. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **22**, 248–253 (2014).
- Xu, S. *et al.* Genomic dissection of population substructure of Han Chinese and its implication in association studies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **85**, 762–774 (2009).
- Shen, C. M. *et al.* Allelic diversity and haplotype structure of HLA loci in the Chinese Han population living in the Guanzhong region of the Shaanxi province. *Hum. Immunol.* **71**, 627–633 (2010).
- Gao, Y. *et al.* Structural polymorphism analysis of Chinese Mongolian ethnic group revealed by a new STR panel: Genetic relationship to other groups. *Electrophoresis* **35**, 2008–2013 (2014).
- Shen, C. M. *et al.* Allelic polymorphic investigation of 21 autosomal short tandem repeat loci in a Chinese Bai ethnic group. *Leg. Med. (Tokyo)* **15**, 109–113 (2013).
- Yuan, J. Y. *et al.* Genetic profile characterization and population study of 21 autosomal STR in Chinese Kazak ethnic minority group. *Electrophoresis* **35**, 503–510 (2014).
- Wang, H. D. *et al.* Allelic diversity distributions of 21 new autosomal short tandem repeat loci in Chinese Ningxia Han population. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* **7**, 78–79 (2013).
- Wang, H. D. *et al.* Allelic frequency distributions of 21 non-combined DNA index system STR loci in a Russian ethnic minority group from Inner Mongolia, China. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* **14**, 533–540 (2013).
- Zhu, B. F. *et al.* Genetic diversities of 21 non-CODIS autosomal STRs of a Chinese Tibetan ethnic minority group in Lhasa. *Int. J. Legal Med.* **125**, 581–585 (2011).
- Yuan, G. L. *et al.* Genetic data provided by 21 autosomal STR loci from Chinese Tujia ethnic group. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **39**, 10265–10271 (2012).
- Deng, Y. J. *et al.* Polymorphic analysis of 21 new STR loci in Chinese Uigur group. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* **7**, e97–e98; (2013).
- Zhu, B. F. *et al.* Population genetics and forensic efficiency of twenty-one novel microsatellite loci of Chinese Yi ethnic group. *Electrophoresis* **34**, 3345–3351 (2013).
- Walsh, P. S., Metzger, D. A. & Higuchi, R. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques* **10**, 506–513 (1991).
- Teng, Y. *et al.* Genetic variation of new 21 autosomal short tandem repeat loci in a Chinese Salar ethnic group. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **39**, 1465–1470 (2012).
- Tereba, A. Tools for Analysis of Population Statistics. *profiles in DNA* **2**, 14–16 (1999).
- Ota, T. *DISPAN: genetic distance and phylogenetic analysis*. (Pennsylvania State Univ. 1993).
- Reich, D. E. *et al.* Linkage disequilibrium in the human genome. *Nature* **411**, 199–204 (2001).

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, No. 81373248, 81471824), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents of the Ministry of Education, China (NECT-10-0687); supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University, China (2011jdgz20).

Author contribution

B.Z. and Y.Z. wrote the main manuscript text, X.T., H.M., W.L., H.W., G.Y., R.J. and C.Y. did the data processing and the manuscript modification, and J.Y. and C.S. prepared the figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/scientificreports>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhang, Y.-D. *et al.* Genetic Variability and Phylogenetic Analysis of Han Population from Guanzhong Region of China based on 21 non-CODIS STR Loci. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 8872; DOI:10.1038/srep08872 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>