

OPEN Diagnostic implication of fibrin degradation products and D-dimer in aortic dissection

Received: 31 October 2016 Accepted: 31 January 2017 Published: 06 March 2017

Jian Dong^{1,*}, Xianli Duan^{1,*}, Rui Feng^{1,*}, Zhiqing Zhao², Xiang Feng¹, Qingsheng Lu¹, Qing Jing^{3,4}, Jian Zhou¹, Junmin Bao¹ & Zaiping Jing¹

Fibrin degradation products (FDP) and D-dimer have been considered to be involved in many vascular diseases. In this study we aimed to explore the diagnostic implication of FDP and D-dimer in aortic dissection patients. 202 aortic dissection patients were collected as the case group, 150 patients with other cardiovascular diseases, including myocardial infarction (MI, n = 45), pulmonary infarction (n = 51) and abdominal aortic aneurysm (n = 54) were collected as non-dissection group, and 27 healthy people were in the blank control group. The FDP and D-dimer levels were detected with immune nephelometry. Logist regression analysis was performed to evaluate the influence of FDP and D-dimer for the aortic dissection patients. ROC curve was used to determine the diagnostic value of FDP and D-dimer. The FDP and D-dimer levels were significantly higher in aortic dissection patients than in non-dissection patients and the healthy controls. FDP and D-dimer were both the risk factors for patients with aortic dissection. From the ROC analysis, diagnostic value of FDP and D-dimer were not high to distinguish aortic dissection patients from the non-dissection patients. However FDP and D-dimer could be valuable diagnostic marker to differentiate aortic dissection patients and healthy controls with both AUC 0.863.

Aortic dissection is one of the most complex and dangerous cardiovascular diseases. The incidence and mortality are both very high, although it has well-established treatment guidelines¹⁻⁵. It is reported that if the aortic dissection patients do not have early diagnosis or appropriate management, it is rapidly fatal^{6,7}. But about 20% patients are without pain and with non-evocative symptoms, like syncope, cerebrovascular accidents, and congestive heart failure. Thus to evaluate the patients with suspicion of aortic dissection is very difficult^{6,8}. Aortic dissection usually is divided into 2 types with the Stanford classification, Stanford type A and B. Type A has high mortality, but life-saving surgical repair is allowed with the rapid diagnosis9. Type B with a long-term prognosis is correlated with both higher morbidity and mortality¹⁰⁻¹³.

Thus with the high morbidity and mortality, early diagnosis is very important for improve the treatment and survival of aortic dissection patients¹⁴. D-dimer is a specific protein fiber degradation product of cross linked fibrin by the hydrolysis of fibrinolytic enzyme^{15,16}. When thrombus degrade, D-dimer can release into the circulatory system¹⁷. In normal blood, the level of D-dimer is low, but once the thrombosis occurs, the D-dimer level is increased¹⁸. Studies have found that in the patients with cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, D-dimer level is increased. And the increased D-dimer level has direct association with prognosis.

Recent years, biochemical diagnosis is widely used in the early diagnosis of many diseases, fibrin degradation products (FDP) is the degradation products of fibrous protein. Many reports have showed that FDP is involved in many vascular diseases. FDP is a mitogen of many cell types, and can promote the proliferation of endothelial cells, smooth muscle cell, and fibroblast, and cholesterol deposition¹⁹. FDP also can induce the adhesion and gather of leucocytes, which results in damage of blood vessel endothelium²⁰.

¹Department of Vascular Surgery, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China. ²Department of Surgery, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China. ³Department of Cardiology, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China. ⁴Key Laboratory of Stem Cell Biology and Laboratory of Nucleic Acid and Molecular Medicine, Institute of Health Sciences, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences & Shanghai Jiao-Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.J. (email: xuequanky@163.com) or J.Z. (email: zhoujian1-2@163.com) or J.B. (email: baojm@xuequan.net)

	Group			
Factor	Case group	Non-dissection group	Healthy group	P value
age	55.32 ± 13.59	64.33 ± 14.31	64.86 ± 10.52	0.002*
HDL	1.20 ± 0.52	1.12±0.35	1.24 ± 0.42	0.906
LDL	2.49 ± 0.77	2.62±0.89	2.52 ± 0.81	0.665
PT	14.65 ± 5.59	14.35 ± 2.95	13.09 ± 2.65	0.023*
TT	18.21 ± 12.12	16.99 ± 3.05	15.84 ± 1.12	1.000
FIB	4.18 ± 3.88	4.09 ± 1.49	4.88 ± 3.42	0.045*
INR	1.17 ± 0.83	1.13±0.32	1.06 ± 0.21	0.228
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.26 ± 1.07	4.43 ± 1.19	4.98 ± 1.21	0.390
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.32 ± 0.74	1.36±0.85	1.59 ± 1.10	0.123

Table 1. Comparison of clinical data among the three group volunteers.

However it is fewer reports of the both FDP and D-dimer and aortic dissection. Thus in this study, we described our preliminary experience with the diagnostic implication of FDP and D-dimer for aortic dissection.

Methods

Study Population. This was a restrospective study. The following methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Changhai Hospital, written informed consent was obtaining from every subject.

This study recruited a total of 202 patients who were diagnosed with aortic dissection in our hospital from 2007–2016 as the case group with the age of 55.32 ± 13.59 (146 males and 56 females). And 150 patients diagnosed with other cardiovascular diseases including myocardial infarction (MI, n=45), pulmonary infarction (n=51) and abdominal aortic aneurysm (n=54) were collected as non-dissection group with the age of 64.33 ± 14.31 (114 males and 36 females). There were 27 healthy volunteers as the control group with the age of 64.86 ± 10.52 (21 males and 6 females).

Aortic dissection patients were diagnosed by pathologists according to standard guidelines assisting with physical examination and contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan. The diagnosis of other cardiovascular diseases, like MI, pulmonary infraction and abdominal aortic aneurysm were performed using specific biomarkers, echocardiography, coronary angiography, CT or MRI according to respective guidelines.

Clinical studies in patients with aortic dissection. In this study, we collected the clinical data of the aortic dissection patients, and the data was all listed in Table 1. The following data obtained: age, HDL, LDL, PT, TT, FIB, INR, cholesterol and triglyceride. In the case group, there were 3 types aortic dissection patients, including patients without complications, with recurrence or endoleak, and dead patients.

Measurements and analysis of FDP and D-dimer. The blood samples were collected from the patients with aortic dissection, non-dissection group and the healthy group. Immune nephelometry was performed in this detection. The FDP level and D-dimer level of all the volunteers were measured with STA R-automatic coagulometer (STAGO, Germany) using the FDP kit and D-D kit (Sekisui Medical Co. LTD).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using the software of SPSS 19.0 and GraphPad Prism 5. The data are summarized and presented as means \pm SD. In order to compare the FDP and D-dimer level between the case group and non-dissection group and healthy group, respectively, one-way ANOVA was used. The clinicopathological characteristics among the three groups were assessed using Chi-square tests. Logist regression analysis was performed to evaluate the influence of FDP and D-dimer for the aortic dissection patients. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to determine the diagnostic value of FDP and D-dimer in distinguishing aortic dissection patients and non-dissection group and healthy group. In this study all *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical data of patients in the three groups. In our study, the clinical data of the three groups were listed in Table 1. And they were assessed using Chi-square tests. From the results, we could see that among the clinical data, age, PT and FIB had significantly differences among the case group, non-dissection group and healthy group. Other clinical data, including HDL, LDL, TT, INR, cholesterol and triglyceride, all had no significantly differences among the three groups.

FDP and D-dimer levels in the three groups. The FDP and D-dimer levels of the three groups were showed in the Fig. 1. The results of Fig. 1a revealed that the FDP level of the patients with aortic dissection (22.81 \pm 28.31 mg/L) was significantly higher than that both in non-dissection group (14.81 \pm 27.26 mg/L) and the healthy group (3.21 \pm 3.91 mg/L) (P< 0.05), although the FDP level was also higher in non-dissection group than that of healthy group. And in Fig. 1b, the D-dimer had the same results with FDP. The D-dimer level of the patients with aortic dissection (6.16 \pm 6.24 g/mL) was also significantly higher than that both in non-dissection group (3.72 \pm 6.03 g/mL) and the healthy group (0.73 \pm 0.70 g/mL) (P<0.05).




Figure 1. The FDP and D-Dimer levels in the three group, the case group, non-dissection group, and the healthy group. (a) FDP levels; (b) D-Dimer levels.




Figure 2. The ROC curves of FDP and D-Dimer to distinguish aortic dissection patients and non-dissection group.




Figure 3. The ROC curves of FDP and D-Dimer to distinguish aortic dissection patients and healthy group.

	OR	95%CI	P value		
Case group					
FDP	1.197	1.042-1.374	0.011		
D-Dimer	2.040	1.223-3.401	0.006		
Non-dissection group					
FDP	1.186	1.032-1.361	0.016		
D-Dimer	1.888	1.133-3.418	0.015		

Table 2. Logist regression analysis of the FDP and D-Dimer. OR: odds ratio.

The logistic regression of FDP and D-dimer in aortic dissection patients. In the case group, the OR value of FDP (P = 0.011) and D-dimer (P = 0.006) were 1.197 and 2.040, respectively, 95%CI were 1.042–1.374 and 1.223–3.401, respectively. And in the non-dissection group, the FDP and D-dimer also were risk factors as similar with those in the case group. The results suggested that FD and D-dimer were both risk factors not only in the non-dissection group but also in the case-group according to the analysis of logist regression analysis (Table 2).

Diagnostic performance of FDP and D-dimer. In order to estimate the diagnostic implication of FDP and D-dimer in aortic dissection patients, ROC analysis was performed (Figs 2 and 3). Figure 2 showed the ROC of FDP and D-dimer between case group and non-dissection group with the area under the curve (AUC) of 0.661 and 0.665, respectively. And in the Fig. 3, the results revealed the ROC of FDP and D-dimer between case group and healthy group with the both AUC of 0.863. For FDP, in the analysis of case group and non-dissection group, the sensitive and specificity were 53.1 and 73.6%, and in the analysis of case group and healthy group were 92.6 and 69.2%, respectively. For D-dimer, the the sensitive and specificity of case group and non-dissection group were 68.8 and 60.9%, and 68.3 and 96.4% in case group and healthy group.

Discussion

Aortic dissection is still a potentially catastrophic cardiovascular disease^{21–23}. According to the foreign data, the incidence of aortic dissection can be 100–290/1000 thousand people², and in America there are 10 thousand new case of aortic dissection every year²⁴. Based on the statistics, the incidence of males is higher than that of females, about 2–3:1, and the patients with type A are more than those with type B²⁵. Because of the complex clinical features, the rates of missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis are high, and the mortality of untreated acute aortic dissection is 21% per day, 37% of two days and 74% of a week²⁶. Although diagnosis and treatment of aortic dissection have greatly improved in recent years, it is still difficult to recognize at clinical presentation for aortic dissection^{21,27–29}.

FDP has been reported to be involved in vascular diseases. Fukujima *et al.* found that FDP participate the development and progression of atherosclerosis and thrombus³⁰. Corban MT *et al.* also found that FDP was associated with larger coronary plaques and greater plaque necrotic core³¹. In addition, in the study of Akiyoshi Hagiwara *et al.*, they showed that FDP was a valuable diagnosis biomarker for patent-type acute aortic dissection patients and thrombosed-type acute aortic dissection patients. They also found a strong correlation between FDP and D-dimer in acute aortic patients³². D-dimer is a kind of fibrin degradation product. In the 1990 s, it was first introduced to be a diagnostic aid for diseases such as pulmonary embolus and deep venous thrombosis^{33,34}. Studies have found that increased D-dimer level was revealed in many diseases, including cancers, deep venous thrombosis, disseminated intravascular coagulation, aortic dissection, and so on. Weber *et al.* found that the increased D-dimer level had association with aortic dissection³⁵. In the research of Eggebrecht *et al.*, D-dimer level was elevated in all patients with aortic dissection³⁶.

In this study, we aimed to explore the diagnostic implication of FDP and D-dimer for the aortic dissection patients. FDP and D-dimer levels were both obviously higher in aortic dissection patients than the patients with myocardial infarction, pulmonary infarction and abdominal aortic aneurysm as well as the healthy people. And among the clinical data, age, PT and FIB had significantly differences among the three groups. The results indicated FDP and D-dimer were both the risk factors for the patients with aortic dissection. From the ROC, in the analysis of case group and non-dissection group, the diagnostic value of FDP and D-dimer were not very high for distinguishing aortic dissection patients and non-dissection group. However, in the analysis of case group and healthy group, the specificity of D-dimer was 96.4%, and the sensitive of FDP was 92.6%, and the AUC of both FDP and D-dimer were 0.863. Therefore, the diagnostic value of FDP and D-dimer were outstanding to distinguishing aortic dissection patients and healthy people. Our findings were compatible with the previous studies and in order to improve the sensitive and specificity, the combined detection of FDP and D-dimer should be applied to clinic in the follow research.

Although in this study, it was revealed that FDP and D-dimer had diagnostic implication for aortic dissection patients, more and further studies for aortic dissection should be performed in the future.

References

- 1. Clouse, W. D. *et al.* Acute aortic dissection: population-based incidence compared with degenerative aortic aneurysm rupture. *Mayo Clin Proc* **79**, 176–80 (2004).
- 2. Meszaros, I. et al. Epidemiology and clinicopathology of aortic dissection. Chest 117, 1271-8 (2000).
- 3. Feldman, M., Shah, M. & Elefteriades, J. A. Medical management of acute type A aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 15, 286–93 (2009).
- 4. Ramanath, V. S., Oh, J. K., Sundt, T. M. 3rd & Eagle, K. A. Acute aortic syndromes and thoracic aortic aneurysm. *Mayo Clin Proc* 84, 465–81 (2009).
- 5. Subramanian, S. & Roselli, E. E. Thoracic aortic dissection: long-term results of endovascular and open repair. Semin Vasc Surg 22, 61–8 (2009).

- 6. Erbel, R. et al. Diagnosis and management of aortic dissection, Eur Heart I 22, 1642-81 (2001).
- 7. von Kodolitsch, Y., Schwartz, A. G. & Nienaber, C. A. Clinical prediction of acute aortic dissection. *Arch Intern Med* 160, 2977–82 (2000).
- 8. Hagan, P. G. et al. The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease. JAMA 283, 897–903 (2000).
- 9. Ranasinghe, A. M. & Bonser, R. S. Biomarkers in acute aortic dissection and other aortic syndromes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **56**, 1535–41 (2010).
- 10. Tsai, T. T. et al. Long-term survival in patients presenting with type A acute aortic dissection: insights from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). Circulation 114, I350–6 (2006).
- 11. Tsai, T. T. et al. Long-term survival in patients presenting with type B acute aortic dissection: insights from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. Circulation 114, 2226–31 (2006).
- 12. Akutsu, K. et al. Effects of the patent false lumen on the long-term outcome of type B acute aortic dissection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 26, 359–66 (2004).
- 13. Kodama, K. et al. Tight heart rate control reduces secondary adverse events in patients with type B acute aortic dissection. Circulation 118, S167-70 (2008).
- 14. Wheat, M. W. Jr., Palmer, R. F., Bartley, T. D. & Seelman, R. C. Treatment of Dissecting Aneurysms of the Aorta without Surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* **50**, 364–73 (1965).
- 15. Ay, C. et al. D-dimer and prothrombin fragment 1+2 predict venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer: results from the Vienna Cancer and Thrombosis Study. J Clin Oncol 27, 4124–9 (2009).
- Kline, J. A. et al. D-dimer threshold increase with pretest probability unlikely for pulmonary embolism to decrease unnecessary computerized tomographic pulmonary angiography. J Thromb Haemost 10, 572–81 (2012).
- 17. Suzuki, T. *et al.* Diagnosis of acute aortic dissection by D-dimer: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection Substudy on Biomarkers (IRAD-Bio) experience. *Circulation* 119, 2702–7 (2009).
- 18. Righini, M. et al. Age-adjusted D-dimer cutoff levels to rule out pulmonary embolism: the ADJUST-PE study. JAMA 311, 1117-24 (2014).
- 19. Naito, M. Effects of fibrinogen, fibrin and their degradation products on the behaviour of vascular smooth muscle cells. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi* 37, 458–63 (2000).
- 20. Yakovlev, S., Zhang, L., Ugarova, T. & Medved, L. Interaction of fibrin(ogen) with leukocyte receptor alpha M beta 2 (Mac-1): further characterization and identification of a novel binding region within the central domain of the fibrinogen gamma-module. *Biochemistry* 44, 617–26 (2005).
- 21. Spittell, P. C. et al. Clinical features and differential diagnosis of aortic dissection: experience with 236 cases (1980 through 1990). Mayo Clin Proc 68, 642-51 (1993).
- 22. Tsai, T. T., Nienaber, C. A. & Eagle, K. A. Acute aortic syndromes. Circulation 112, 3802-13 (2005).
- 23. Nienaber, C. A. & Eagle, K. A. Aortic dissection: new frontiers in diagnosis and management: Part I: from etiology to diagnostic strategies. *Circulation* 108, 628–35 (2003).
- 24. Rogers, A. M. et al. Sensitivity of the aortic dissection detection risk score, a novel guideline-based tool for identification of acute aortic dissection at initial presentation: results from the international registry of acute aortic dissection. Circulation 123, 2213–8 (2011).
- 25. Nienaber, C. A. et al. Gender-related differences in acute aortic dissection. Circulation 109, 3014-21 (2004).
- 26. Braverman, A. C. Aortic dissection: prompt diagnosis and emergency treatment are critical. Cleve Clin J Med 78, 685-96 (2011).
- 27. Nienaber, C. A. et al. The diagnosis of thoracic aortic dissection by noninvasive imaging procedures. N Engl J Med 328, 1-9 (1993).
- 28. Nienaber, C. A. et al. Nonsurgical reconstruction of thoracic aortic dissection by stent-graft placement. N Engl J Med 340, 1539–45 (1999).
- 29. Dake, M. D. et al. Endovascular stent-graft placement for the treatment of acute aortic dissection. N Engl J Med 340, 1546–52 (1999).
- 30. Fukujima, M. M., Martinez, T. L., Pinto, L. E., Auriemo Cdo, R. & de Andrade, L. A. Fibrinogen as independent risk factor for ischemic stroke. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 55, 737–40 (1997).
- 31. Corban, M. T. et al. Elevated Levels of Serum Fibrin and Fibrinogen Degradation Products Are Independent Predictors of Larger Coronary Plaques and Greater Plaque Necrotic Core. Circ J 80, 931–7 (2016).
- 32. Hagiwara, A. *et al.* Using fibrin degradation products level to facilitate diagnostic evaluation of potential acute aortic dissection. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 35, 15–22 (2013).
- 33. Wells, P. S. *et al.* A novel and rapid whole-blood assay for D-dimer in patients with clinically suspected deep vein thrombosis. *Circulation* **91**, 2184–7 (1995).
- 34. Caprini, J. A., Glase, C. J., Anderson, C. B. & Hathaway, K. Laboratory markers in the diagnosis of venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 109, I4–8 (2004).
- 35. Weber, T. et al. D-dimer in acute aortic dissection. Chest 123, 1375-8 (2003).
- 36. Eggebrecht, H. et al. Value of plasma fibrin D-dimers for detection of acute aortic dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol 44, 804–9 (2004).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [81370441], and Shanghai Health System Diseases Important Joint Research Project [2014ZYJB0401].

Author Contributions

J.D., X.D. and R.F. conceived and designed the experiments; Z.Z. and X.F. conceived and performed the experiments; Q.L. and Q.J. prepared figures. J.Z., J.B. and Z.J. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Dong, J. et al. Diagnostic implication of fibrin degradation products and D-dimer in aortic dissection. Sci. Rep. 7, 43957; doi: 10.1038/srep43957 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017