

## CORRESPONDENCE

## OPEN



# ATG and other serotherapy in conditioning regimens for autologous HSCT in autoimmune diseases: a survey on behalf of the EBMT Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP)

© The Author(s) 2024

*Bone Marrow Transplantation* (2024) 59:1614–1617; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-024-02383-3>

## TO THE EDITOR:

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is a therapeutic option for severe/refractory autoimmune diseases (AD) [1, 2] and is now considered standard of care for highly active relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) failing disease modifying therapies and early severe diffuse-cutaneous systemic sclerosis (SSc) [3–5]. While multiple conditioning regimens exist, EBMT guidelines recommend Cyclophosphamide-anti-thymocyte globulin (Cy-ATG) and BEAM-ATG [1].

Polyclonal anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) is commonly used in most conditioning regimens. Other monoclonal antibodies such as alemtuzumab and rituximab are also used, sometimes in combination with ATG [6, 7]. The use of ATG reflects a form of in vivo T-cell depletion, which concomitantly depletes host T-cells, along with other immune cells of lymphoid lineage, that have survived the conditioning regimen while similarly depleting T-cells that are reinfused with the graft, contributing to the immune resetting [8] related to HSCT procedure.

To date, the choice of anti-T cell serotherapy largely depends on availability, center preference, and/or enrollment in clinical trials. Through a collaborative initiative of the ADWP, we evaluated the current real-word clinical practice among EBMT centers on the use of ATG and other serotherapy in conditioning regimens for HSCT in AD. Such information may allow to optimize and harmonize ATG administration schemes across centers, with the goal of maximizing benefits of HSCT while reducing adverse effects.

This study followed the EBMT study guidelines. All EBMT centers having performed more than 5 HSCT for AD in adult patients since 2015 were invited to the survey. A web-based limited/closed questionnaire (Supplementary Materials) has been developed and sent to leaders of centers for completion directly or by delegates. Data collection and statistical analysis were performed by the ADWP Data Office (Paris). The answers given by centers were summarized using descriptive statistics.

Forty-six EBMT centers (66%) from 18 countries responded to the survey. Among responding centers, 11 (23.9%) perform HSCT for neurological AD indications, 7 (15.2%) for rheumatological ADs, 1 (2.2%) for hematological ADs and 27 (58.7%) for multiple indications. All centers use ATG. Twelve centers (26.1%) use rituximab in addition to ATG, none of the centers currently uses alemtuzumab.

The conditioning regimens, serotherapy type and total dose (TD) used by centers are shown in Table 1.

Twenty-seven centers (58.7%) use the same conditioning regimen for all AD indications. Cy-ATG is the most frequently used regimen across EBMT centers, followed by BEAM-ATG, whereas reduced-intensity conditioning regimens like cyclophosphamide-fludarabine-ATG are used less frequently. Most centers (93.5%) use ATG for all AD indications. Only one center uses a serotherapy-free regimen (cyclophosphamide only), for neurologic indications.

Several types of ATG exist, including rabbit ATG of various brands (Thymoglobulin®/Sanofi-Genzyme and Graflon®/Neovii), horse-ATG and goat-ATG [7]. Moreover, timing and dosage of administration of ATG in the conditioning scheme can vary considerably [7]. Thymoglobulin is the most commonly used ATG type (41 centers, 89.1%). Among centers using Thymoglobulin, 32.6% administer a TD of 7.5 mg/kg, while 53.6% administer <7.5 mg/kg and 4.3% >7.5 mg/kg. Four centers report using Graflon, each center a with a different TD, and one center uses Atgam. ATG administration is always fractionated over multiple days, 3 days for 15 centers or 5 days for 17 centers; half of the centers divide the TD equally for each day of administration.

Twenty-nine centers administer ATG during chemotherapy (63%), especially if Cy-ATG is used, while rituximab is administered before chemotherapy in 3 centers, during chemotherapy in 1 center, after HSCT infusion in 2 centers, or before chemotherapy and after cell infusion in 6 centers.

A test dose is used by 16 centers before ATG administration (ranges 0.28–25 mg or 0.5–1 mg/kg). None of the centers uses a rituximab test dose. The variability of reported doses for ATG test dose shows that some centers administer a lower ATG dose in the first day of administration and reported it as “test dose”. ATG administration is slow: 22 centers (48.9%) administer it over 12 h, 7 (15.6%) over 8 hours, 7 (15.6%) over 6 h. Rituximab is mainly administered over 4 hours (5 centers) or 6 h (5 centers).

Class-related adverse effects of serotherapy include acute infusion-associated reactions, consistent with cytokine-release syndrome, anaphylaxis and other allergic phenomena [9, 10]. Premedication is used to prevent serotherapy-related adverse events, including antihistamines (100% of centers), paracetamol (91.1%) and steroids (98%); details are shown in Table 1. Fifteen centers repeat the premedication at fixed times during a single infusion, typically every 4 h (7 centers) or 8 h (3 centers).

In 37 (80.4%) centers, the planned TD of ATG is successfully administered to all patients. Nine out of 12 centers administer the TD of rituximab to all patients. Our survey revealed that most centers experience transient disease-related symptoms during serotherapy and conditioning. Among 36 centers performing HSCT for neurological ADs, pseudo-relapse [11] was reported by 12 centers treating MS, by 4 centers treating neuromyelitis optica (NMO) and by 5 centers treating chronic inflammatory

Received: 22 February 2024 Revised: 21 July 2024 Accepted: 25 July 2024  
Published online: 14 August 2024

**Table 1.** Use in current practice of conditioning regimens, serotherapy and premedication in HSCT for AD indications.

Conditioning regimen and serotherapy	Dose (if applicable)	N° of centers (%)
<i>Conditioning regimen</i>		<b>46</b>
Cyclophosphamide-ATG		23 (50)
BEAM-ATG		3 (6.5)
Both Cyclophosphamide-ATG and BEAM-ATG		7 (15.2)
Use of RIC protocols <sup>a</sup> and Cyclophosphamide-ATG		9 (19.6)
Use of RIC protocols only		1 (2.2)
Various protocols (including ATG-free regimens <sup>b</sup> )		3 (6.5)
<i>ATG</i>		<b>46</b>
Rabbit ATG - Thymoglobulin®	≤5 mg/kg	3 (6.5)
	6 mg/kg	19 (41.3)
	7.5 mg/kg	15 (32.6)
	>7.5 mg/kg	2 (4.3)
	Different doses <sup>c</sup>	2 (4.3)
Rabbit ATG - Grafalon®	7.5 mg/kg	1 (2.2)
	10 mg/kg	1 (2.2)
	15 mg/kg	1 (2.2)
	90 mg/kg	1 (2.2)
Horse ATG - Atgam®	40 mg/kg	1 (2.2)
<i>Rituximab</i>		<b>12</b>
Rituximab i.v.	500 mg	3 (25)
	500 mg for 2 days	6 (50)
	1000 mg	3 (25)
<i>Alemtuzumab</i>		<b>0</b>
<i>Steroids<sup>d</sup></i>		<b>45</b>
Methylprednisolone (or equivalent, with or without taper)	1 mg/kg/day	17 (37.8)
	2 mg/kg/day	16 (35.6)
	1000 mg/day	4 (8.9)
	500 mg/day	2 (4.4)
	5 mg/kg/day (max 250 mg/day) or 250 mg/day flat dose	2 (4.4)
<i>Other</i>		3 (6.7)
<i>None</i>		1 (2.2)
<i>Other premedication<sup>d,e</sup></i>		<b>45</b>
Paracetamol		41 (91.1)
Antihistamine		45 (100)

ATG anti-thymocyte globuline; BEAM Carmustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine, and Melphalan; RIC reduced-intensity conditioning; IVIG intravenous immunoglobulins.

<sup>a</sup>Reported RIC protocols included Cyclophosphamide-Fludarabine-ATG, Cyclophosphamide-Fludarabine-ATG-Rituximab (with or without IVIG), Cyclophosphamide-Thiotepa-ATG, Fludarabine-Melphalan-ATG.

<sup>b</sup>Reported ATG-free regimens included Cyclophosphamide alone, Cyclophosphamide-Rituximab, Cyclophosphamide-Fludarabine-Rituximab.

<sup>c</sup>According to clinical trial or different disease.

<sup>d</sup>One missing answer.

<sup>e</sup>Not mutually exclusive.

demyelinating neuropathy (CIDP). Only one center treating MS reported Uhthoff's phenomenon, ie short-term and stereotyped worsening of neurological function among MS patients in response to increases in core body temperature. Centers treating myasthenia gravis reported various symptoms typical of this disease: ptosis (2 centers), respiratory failure (2 centers), dysphagia (1 center), limb weakness (1 center). Among fourteen centers treating gastroenterological ADs, 3 centers reported clinical signs of disease activity during serotherapy when treating Crohn's disease. Among twenty-nine centers performing HSCT for rheumatological diseases, in particular SSc, cardiac toxicity was reported by 14 centers, renal crises by 8, interstitial pneumonitis by 10 and pulmonary failure by 10. Few centers have performed HSCT in systemic lupus erythematosus, but 2 of them reported disease relapse involving a vital organ during serotherapy. Steroids have been associated with renal crises, however, with the limits of a survey-based study, we found no difference in steroid dose used by centers treating rheumatological diseases reporting renal crises and centers not reporting them.

Interestingly, some centers reported no experience of neurological disease-specific complications (4 centers treating MS, 2 centers treating NMO, 2 centers treating CIDP) while all centers treating SSc reported complications during serotherapy and conditioning. This may reflect the complexity of performing HSCT in SSc patients, whose fitness is crucial for the safety of the procedure [12].

In the management of serotherapy-related adverse events, 28 (62.2%) centers collaborate with Intensive Care, most frequently transferring some patients to the ICU and managing other patients in the Transplant Ward with Intensive care staff assistance (21 centers).

Serotherapy, most commonly including ATG, is a key component of conditioning regimens, contributing to the restoration of immunologic self-tolerance and to induce long term-remissions [7, 8]. This survey reveals a high degree of consistency across EBMT centers regarding the choice of rabbit ATG and Cy-ATG regimen. There is still a high variability in doses, both TD and schemes to fractionate the doses, in current practice, with a general trend towards low ATG-TD. Moreover, different medications, in particular different types of ATG, are not equally available in different countries. Supportive care measures, including slow infusion and premedication with high dose steroids, allow most centers to infuse the planned TD to all patients, although the optimal premedication and infusion scheme allowing to maximize the number of patients receiving the planned TD is still open to debate. Even though ATG dosing is based on ATG type, body weight and schedule of administration, ATG pharmacokinetics also depends on the number of lymphocytes in the blood, lymph nodes, and bone marrow at the time of treatment. In the setting of allogeneic transplant for hematologic malignancies, suboptimal ATG exposure has been associated with the main transplant outcomes [13]. Similarly, ATG exposure in SSc patients treated with autologous HSCT was shown to be higher in HSCT responders than in non-responders, even with the same weight-based ATG dose and administration schedule [14]. How to modulate ATG administration schemes in order to optimize ATG exposure, also according to the specific disease indication, is still an open research question.

Few centers use rituximab, all in addition to ATG, mainly in rheumatological diseases (75% of centers), though not exclusively. Interestingly, alemtuzumab is currently not used by centers in the context of HSCT for ADs.

In conclusion, these results suggest the need for improved reporting and communication between centers performing HSCT in ADs to foster collaborative and comparative research studies in the future, including patient-level studies starting from the EBMT

registry and expanding to multicenter, prospective trials, detailing serotherapy administration schemes in relation to serotherapy-related adverse events and patient outcomes, including ATG pharmacokinetics. These include a larger heterogenous cohort of treated patients allowing more robust statistical analysis, wider generalization and pooling of protocols and costs. The aim is to identify commonalities and opportunities to reduce variations and inequalities in practice across healthcare systems, with the goal of offering similar treatment schemes to similar patients. The results of these studies may translate into consensus guidelines (developed in cooperation between transplant and disease specialists) to best standardize practice on serotherapy administration and improve patients' outcomes.

Atta Ismail  <sup>1,7</sup>✉, Rosamaria Nitti  <sup>2,7</sup>✉, Basil Sharrack<sup>1</sup>, Manuela Badoglio  <sup>3</sup>, Pascale Ambron<sup>3</sup>, Myriam Labopin  <sup>3</sup>, Tobias Alexander  <sup>4</sup>, John A. Snowden  <sup>5</sup> and Raffaella Greco  <sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology and Sheffield NIHR Neuroscience BRC, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Immunohematology and Transfusion Medicine Unit, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy. <sup>3</sup>EBMT Paris study office; Department of Hematology, Saint Antoine Hospital; INSERM UMR 938, Sorbonne

University, Paris, France. <sup>4</sup>Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Berlin, Germany.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Haematology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, United Kingdom. <sup>6</sup>Unit of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy. <sup>7</sup>These authors contributed equally: Atta Ismail, Rosamaria Nitti.

✉email: [atza.ismail@nhs.net](mailto:atza.ismail@nhs.net); [nitti.rosamaria@hsr.it](mailto:nitti.rosamaria@hsr.it)

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The final analysis dataset will be available upon specific request to the Working Party chair.

## REFERENCES

1. Alexander T, Greco R. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and cellular therapies for autoimmune diseases: overview and future considerations from the Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP) of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT). *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2022;57:1055–62.
2. Achini-Gutzwiler FR, Snowden JA, Corbacioglu S, Greco R. EBMT Autoimmune Diseases (ADWP) and Paediatric Diseases (PDWP) Working Parties. Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for severe autoimmune diseases in children: A review of current literature, registry activity and future directions on behalf of the autoimmune diseases and paediatric diseases working parties of the Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Br J Haematol*. 2022;198:24–45.
3. Sharrack B, Saccardi R, Alexander T, Badoglio M, Burman J, Farge D, et al. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and other cellular therapy in multiple sclerosis and immune-mediated neurological diseases: updated guidelines and recommendations from the EBMT Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP) and the Joint Accreditation Committee of EBMT and ISCT (JACIE). *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2020;55:283–306.
4. Snowden JA, Sánchez-Ortega I, Corbacioglu S, Basak GW, Chabannon C, de la Camara R, et al. Indications for haematopoietic cell transplantation for haematological diseases, solid tumours and immune disorders: current practice in Europe, 2022. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2022;57:1217–39.
5. Snowden JA, Saccardi R, Allez M, Ardizzone S, Arnold R, Cervera R, et al. Haematopoietic SCT in severe autoimmune diseases: updated guidelines of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2012;47:770–90.
6. Alexander T, Arnold R, Hiepe F, Radbruch A. Resetting the immune system with immunoablation and autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in autoimmune diseases. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2016;34:53–57.
7. Burt RK, Farge D, Ruiz MA, Saccardi R, Snowden JA. Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapies for Autoimmune Diseases. CRC Press. 2021 (ebook) <https://www.routledge.com/Hematopoietic-Stem-Cell-Transplantation-and-Cellular-Therapies-for-Autoimmune-Burt-Farge-Ruiz-Saccardi-Snowden/p/book/978138558555>.
8. Cencioni MT, Genchi A, Brittain G, de Silva TI, Sharrack B, Snowden JA, et al. Immune Reconstitution Following Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Sclerosis: A Review on Behalf of the EBMT Autoimmune Diseases Working Party. *Front Immunol*. 2022;12:813957.
9. Bacigalupo A. Antilymphocyte/thymocyte globulin for graft versus host disease prophylaxis: efficacy and side effects. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2005;35:225–31.
10. Yeung MY, Gabardi S, Sayegh MH. Use of polyclonal/monoclonal antibody therapies in transplantation. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2017;17:339–52.
11. Ismail A, Sharrack B, Saccardi R, Moore JJ, Snowden JA. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell therapy for multiple sclerosis: a review for supportive care clinicians on behalf of the Autoimmune Diseases Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*. 2019;13:394–401.
12. Farge D, Burt RK, Oliveira MC, Mousseaux E, Rovira M, Marjanovic Z, et al. Cardiopulmonary assessment of patients with systemic sclerosis for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: recommendations from the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Autoimmune Diseases Working Party and collaborating partners. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2017;52:1495–503.
13. Admiraal R, Nierkens S, de Witte MA, Petersen EJ, Fleurke GJ, Verrest L, et al. Association between anti-thymocyte globulin exposure and survival outcomes in adult unrelated haemopoietic cell transplantation: a multicentre, retrospective, pharmacodynamic cohort analysis. *Lancet Haematol*. 2017;4:e183–e191.
14. Chiu YH, Drijver A, Admiraal R, van Rhenen A, Nierkens S, van Laar JM, et al. Anti-thymocyte globulin exposure in patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis undergoing autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Scleroderma Relat Disord*. 2023;8:241–6.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the support by EBMT and ADWP without which this work would not have been possible. The authors thank the EBMT Paris Office, EBMT centers for their contributions to the EBMT registry and those active in the ADWP. We are grateful to the all the EBMT affiliated centers contributing to this survey: St. Vincent's Hospital, Kinghorn Cancer Centre, Sydney, Australia; Antwerp University Hospital (UZA), Antwerp Edegem, Belgium; FOSCAL Internacional of the FOSUNAB Foundation, Floridablanca, Colombia; Instituto de Cancerología S.A, Medellin, Colombia; University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic; North Estonia Medical Centre, Tallinn, Estonia; Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia; CHU Lapeyronie, Montpellier, France; Hôpital Saint-Louis, APHP, Université Paris, Paris, France; Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris, France; Hôpital La Miletie, Poitiers, France; ICANS - Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; CHU - Institut Universitaire du Cancer Toulouse, Toulouse, France; Medizinische Klinik m. S. Hämatologie, Onkologie und Tumormunologie, Berlin, Germany; University Hospital Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; Universitätsklinikum Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany; Universitätsklinikum Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany; Rambam Medical Center, Haifa, Israel; ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy; Ospedale Policlinico, Catania, Italy; Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, Firenze, Italy; Fondazione IRCCS - Ca Granda, Milano, Italy; IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milano, Italy; U.O.D Trapianti di midollo osseo, Palermo, Italy; Unità Operativa di Ematologia, Ravenna, Italy; Hospital C. Panico, Tricase Lecce, Italy; Leiden University Hospital, Leiden, The Netherlands; Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway; RM Gorbacheva Research Institute, Pavlov University, St Petersburg, Russia; King Abdul - Aziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore; Wits Donald Gordon Medical Centre, Johannesburg, South Africa; Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain; Clínica Universitaria de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; Hospital Clínico de Valencia, Valencia, Spain; University Hospital La Fe, Valencia, Spain; Skanes University Hospital, Lund, Sweden; University Hospital | Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden; London Bridge Hospital, London, United Kingdom; Kings College Hospital London, London, United Kingdom; St. Bartholomew's Hospital London, London, United Kingdom; Sheffield Royal Hallamshire, Sheffield, United Kingdom.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AI, RN, JAS and RG led on concept and design, provided expert and analytical feedback, and worked as a writing committee. MB and PA prepared and conducted survey and prepared data. ML analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. All authors critically reviewed first a preliminary and then the final version of the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

The EBMT provided resources via the working party, data office and registry. Other than EBMT support there is no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**COMPETING INTERESTS**

RC discloses speaking honoraria from Biostest, Pfizer, Medac, Neovii and Magenta. TA received study support from Amgen, Janssen and honoraria from Neovii, GSK, AstraZeneca, Abbvie. JAS discloses consultancy for Vertex, Medac and Jazz, and advisory board from Kiadis. None of the mentioned conflicts of interest were related to financing of the content of this manuscript. The remaining authors have nothing to declare.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-024-02383-3>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Azza Ismail or Rosamaria Nitti.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2024